

APPENDIX A

Applications recommended for Award

Organisation	Area of Benefit	Grant Purpose	Total Project Cost	Award Requested	Award Suggested	Criteria Score
4Sight Vision Support	Mid Sussex	Newsletters in accessible format (large print, email, audio USB/CD or Braille)	£1,716.22	£1,716.22	£1,716.22	
Carers Support West Sussex	Mid Sussex	Project to identify and engage with male carers in Mid Sussex.	£9,500	£5,000	£9,500	
Family Support Work	Bentswood HH	Set up informal, drop-in group for isolated parents and carers of children at the Bentswood Hub.	£1,369	£820	£820	
		TOTAL				

Assessment Matrix (max score = 12)

Criteria	Excellent: the application meets the criteria and shows outstanding qualities (Score 3)	Good: the application meets the criteria and shows strong qualities (Score = 2)	Fair: the application meets the criteria (Score = 1)	Poor: the application does not meet the criteria (Score = 0)
Council's priorities <i>(Effective and Responsive Services; Sustainable Economic Growth; Strong and Resilient Communities; Financial Independence)</i> (Qu. 20)	The application clearly articulates how the project will meet at least one of the Council's priorities	The application states how the project will meet at least one of the Council's priorities	The application states that the project will meet at least one of the Council's priorities	The project will not meet any of the Council's priorities
Evidence of need (Qu. 15)	The demand / need within the local community is clearly articulated with supporting information i.e. consultations, research, statistics, pilot project.	The applicant has outlined the need within the local community.	A need is identified	The need is not evident.

Impact and benefits (Qu. 13, 16, 18)	Project clearly describes the beneficiaries (80%+ from Mid Sussex), outcomes and measures of success.	Beneficiaries (80%+ from Mid Sussex), outcomes and evaluation methods are listed but not detailed.	Limited information has been supplied regarding beneficiaries (80%+ from Mid Sussex), outcomes and project evaluation.	Less than 80% of beneficiaries are from Mid Sussex. Outcomes are not evident.	
Finances (Qu. 21)	The applicant has submitted an itemised balanced budget that appears realistic and good value for money, including 10%+ match funding sources identified or secured.	The applicant has submitted a balanced budget that appears realistic and good value for money.	Budgetary information is limited.	Budgetary information is non-existent or incomplete.	